IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE

WRIT PETITION NO.6005 OF 2005

Dinesh S. Bawiskar. ...
Petitioner.

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

....

Ms.Ranjana Todankar i/b. Mr.S. S. Pakale for the Petitioners.
Mr. P. M. Patil, AGP for the Respondents.

.....

CORAM : F.I REBELLO AND
DR.D.Y.CHANDRACHUD, JJ.

September 19, 2005.

P.C.

Rule. Heard forthwith.

2. By a common order dated 9th September 2004, the Original Application filed by the Petitioners along with various other applications, was disposed of. The Learned Tribunal had issued directions. Those directions were the subject matter of the challenge before this Court in Writ Petition No.708 of 2005 which arose from Original Application No.818 of 2002. By a judgment dated 20th June 2005, the Learned Bench of this Court was pleased to allow the Writ Petition and issued the following directions:

> "In the result, the judgment and order of the tribunal is quashed and set aside. Respondents are directed to give

the status of permanency to the Petitioners from the date they had completed 240 days of service in the establishment of the Respondents in their respective post and refix them in proper pay scale by giving them all benefits as being paid to the regular employees."

3. For the same reasons given while disposing of Writ Petition No.708 of 2005, the present petition will have to be allowed. Accordingly the petition is allowed in terms of the directions issued in Writ Petition No.708 of 2005 which are reproduced earlier. Rule is made absolute with no order as to costs.

(F.I. Rebello, J.)

(Dr. D.Y. Chandrachud, J.)